UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,957	07/25/2007	Norbert Spazier	095309.57914US	1518
23911 CROWELL & 1	7590 05/26/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300			SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S	
	N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2885	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,957	SPAZIER, NORBERT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HARGOBIND S. SAWHNEY	2885			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10,12-16,22,24,26 and 28 is/are rejected to a claim(s) 11,17-21,23 and 25 is/are objected to a claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the oregin of the correction of the co	ected. relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2885

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment filed on July 27, 2007 has been entered.

Accordingly:

the specification including abstract has been amended; claims 1-8 have been cancelled; and new claims 9-25 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 22, 24, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are as follows.

Claim 10, line 4, "an output element" needs to be structurally related to the "laminated glass recited in the independent claim 1. Is "an output element" an element in addition to the laminated glass?

Claims 12, 16, 22, 24, 26 and 28 are necessarily rejected because of their dependency on the rejected base claim 10.

Claim 10 has been examined with the limitation "an output element" considered as --a light output panel of the laminated glass--.

Art Unit: 2885

4. Claims 14 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14, lines 2 and 3. "the roof" lacks sufficient antecedent basis.

Claim 26 is necessarily rejected because of their dependency on the rejected base claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent JP 284684 (Kashiwagi).

Regarding **claims 9, 10 and 12**, Kashiwagi discloses a lamp device capable for interior illumination of a transport device, and the lamp comprising:

a light emitting diode LED lamp 6 integrated in a laminated glass 2,3 – the combination including substrates 2 and 3, and being capable of illuminating a transparent surface of a laminated glass2,3 (Figures 1-3, English translated abstract); the lamp 6 including a printed circuit board 4,5 – the combination including elements 4 and 5 with printed conductive conductors (Figures 1-3, English translated abstract); light from the LED lamp 6 being coupled to an output element 2 - (Figures 1-3, English

Art Unit: 2885

translated abstract); the interior lamp 6 including a housing 11 receiving the printed circuit board 4,5 and the output element 2 (Figures 1-3, English translated abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent JP 284684 (Kashiwagi).

Kashiwagi discloses the lamp comprising the housing receiving the printed circuit board and the output element as applied to 12 discussed above. However, Kashiwagi does not specifically teach the housing having square, rectangular, round, or oval shape.

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the modify the lamp device providing a square or rectangular housing, since it has been held by the courts that a change in shape or configuration, without any criticality, is nothing more than one of numerous shapes that one of ordinary skill in the art will find obvious to provide based on the suitability for the intended final application. See *In re Dailey*, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976). It appears that the disclosed device disclosed by Kashiwagi would perform equally well with any of the

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 11, 17-21, 23 and 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tatewaki et al. (US Patent No.: 6,402,354 B1) and Becher et al.(US Patent No.: 6,660,571 B2)

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S Sawhney whose telephone number is 571 272 2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jong-Suk (James) Lee can be reached on 571 272 7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2885

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/19/2009

/Hargobind S. Sawhney/ Primary Examiner; Art Unit 2885